

United States District Court Northern District of Illinois
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAR PRO HAC VICE

Case Title: LONG ZHANG,	Plaintiff(s)
VS.	
UAB EKOMILITA	Defendant(s)
Case Number:	Judge:

I, _____ hereby apply to the Court
under Local Rule 83.14 for permission to appear and participate in the above-entitled action on behalf of
_____ by whom I have been retained.

I am a member in good standing and eligible to practice before the following courts:

Title of Court	Date Admitted

I have currently, or within the year preceding the date of this application, made pro hac vice applications to this Court in the following actions:

Case Number	Case Title	Date of Application (Granted or Denied)*

*If denied, please explain:
(Attach additional form if
necessary)

Pursuant to Local Rule 83.15(a), applicants who do not have an office within the Northern District of Illinois must designate, at the time of filing their initial notice or pleading, a member of the bar of this Court having an office within this District upon who service of papers may be made.

Has the applicant designated local counsel? Yes ☐ No ☐

If you have not designated local counsel, Local Rule 83.15(b) provides that the designation must be made within thirty (30) days.

Has the applicant ever been:

sanctioned, censured, suspended, disbarred, or otherwise disciplined by any court?

Yes

☐

No

☐

or is the applicant currently the subject of an investigation of the applicant's professional conduct?

Yes

☐

No

☐

transferred to inactive status, voluntarily withdrawn, or resigned from the bar of any court?

Yes

☐

No

☐

denied admission to the bar of any court?

Yes

☐

No

☐

held in contempt of court?

Yes

☐

No

☐

NOTE: If the answer to any of the above questions is yes, please attach a brief description of the incident(s) and the applicant's current status before any court, or any agency thereof, where disciplinary sanctions were imposed, or where an investigation or investigations of the applicant's conduct may have been instituted.

I have read the Rules of Professional Conduct for the Northern District of Illinois and the Standards for Professional Conduct within the Seventh Federal Judicial Circuit, and will faithfully adhere to them. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

S/

Joshua Sheskin

Date

Electronic Signature of Applicant

Applicant's Name	Last Name		First Name		Middle Name/Initial
	Applicant's Law Firm				
Applicant's Address	Street Address				Room/Suite Number
	City	State	ZIP Code	Work Phone Number	
				Email Address	

(The pro hac vice admission fee is \$150.00 and shall be paid to the Clerk. No admission under Rule 83.14 is effective until such time as the fee has been paid.)

NOTE: Attorneys seeking to appear pro hac vice may wish to consider filing a petition for admission to the general bar of this Court. The fee for admission to the General Bar is \$188.00 The fee for pro hac vice admission is \$150.00. Admission to the general bar permits an attorney to practice before this Court. Pro hac vice admission entitles an attorney to appear in a particular case only. Application for such admission must be made in each case; and the admission fee must be paid in each case.

Joshua Howard Sheskin, Esq., M.A.
FL Bar No. 93028

More Complete Answer to “Has the applicant ever been:”

There was one incident in the Middle District of Florida. It did not result in formal discipline; it resulted in having to wet sign documents and report any orders to show cause within a year’s time frame. At the end of the year everything was cleared. It was not a disciplinary sanction the judge had told me, which is why I checked the box I did, but in the interest of full disclosure the details are below. Note, the whole incident was caused by a law firm I worked less than four months for, and resigned upon the Court’s suggestion, and my desire to work competently.

Entity: MDFL Orlando Division 401 West Central Boulevard, Orlando, FL 32801

Allegation: That South Florida ADA lawyers were bringing suits and then not litigating them in the MDFL, including me

Initiated: 2/20/2018

Concluded: 3/27/2020

Caption of Proceedings: In Re. ADA Cases

Findings: South Florida Lawyers are filing cases and abandoning them

Outcome: I need to personally sign submissions for a year, and I need to tell the court if I get any adverse orders for a year. I did not get any adverse orders and I hand signed everything. The matter was disposed of.